IPc - Organ + mgmt

SECRET

DIARY NOTES

<u>DD/S</u>   22 August 1969

1.   <u>Air America -- Porter Crash</u>:   On this date I advised the Executive
Committee that an autopsy of a pilot who I thought was the pilot for the helicopter
that crashed two weeks ago indicated that he had been struck by ground fire and
died while piloting the plane.   After I returned to the office, information indicated
that the pilot on whom the autopsy was conducted was the one who was flying the
Porter aircraft which crashed on 19 August killing 13 people.   I asked ▮▮▮▮▮▮   25X1A
to check this out and I will so correct my statement to the Executive Committee on
Monday, 25 August.

2.   <u>ADP Operations</u>:   Following the presentation to the Director by
▮▮▮▮▮▮▮▮▮▮ on 20 August 1969 on the ADP program of the Agency, the Director   25X1A
advised that he would issue some guidelines as to how the program should be
managed and conducted.   Prior to the meeting the DD/S and ADD/S had briefed
the Executive Director on a proposal for the management of the Agency ADP
program designed to overcome a principal deficiency as experienced at this time.
While the DD/S has 19% of the capability of OCS computers on the other hand the
DD/S has 100% responsibility for emplacing computers into operation.   These
factors include space in buildings, power and air conditioning supplements,
screen rooms, building alterations and special construction, establishing of the
communications network and all the supporting actions to put a computer
application into practical operation.   It was pointed out that new computer
programs are frequently developed by components of the Agency with the
assistance of outside contractors and are structured separate and independent of
any existing computer program within the Agency.   There is generally no advance
interface with the components of the DD/S as to long-range planning and utilization
of existing or planned facilities.   The only current mechanism that has respon-
sibility to oversee new programs is the Information Processing Committee,
however, they really do not get into action until the purchase of computers them-
selves is proposed.   Using this as a back door technique the IPC then backs into
the proposed computer program for study and approval purposes.   My contention
is that this is a backward approach and that any component considering new
computer application or program should first present the program to the IPC
on a conceptualized basis for approval in principle with the technical

SECRET

Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

developments to be subsequently worked out including the ultimate computer which will perform the main job. The program should then be reviewed by a technical staff to determine whether this new program can be accommodated by existing computers and facilities within the Agency or whether new facilities must be created. We have no technical committee to perform this function and accordingly one should be created. Accordingly, I wish to establish under the control of the DD/S a computer facility review committee comprised of technical representatives from Offices of Computer Services, Communications, Logistics, Security and Chief, Plans Staff (O/DD/S). The ADD/S will have oversight of the operations of this committee. The purpose of the committee will be to review all proposed new computer applications and to provide within existing facilities or by the creation of new facilities the planning and accomplishment of proposed program objectives.

With the above as a concept of operation, I ask that ████████ and   25X1A
25X1A   ████████ develop the outline of a computer facility review committee so that this can be discussed with OCS, PPB and the respective offices of the DD/S.

RLB:dlk

(NOTE: Copies of this Diary Note (22 Aug 69) made for ████████████████████   25X1A